

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 YVETTE FELARCA, ET AL.

No. C-11-05719 DMR

12 Plaintiff(s),

**ORDER DENYING EX PARTE  
DISCOVERY LETTERS [DOCKET NOS.  
338, 339, 340]**

14 ROBERT J. BIRGENEAU, ET AL.,

Defendant(s).

17 The court has received Plaintiffs' three ex parte discovery letters. [Docket Nos. 338, 339,  
18 340.] The court's standing order on discovery, *see* Docket No. 227, requires the parties to meet and  
19 confer in person or by telephone prior to requesting judicial intervention for discovery disputes, and  
20 requires the parties to file a *joint* letter after the meeting and conferring if they are unable to resolve  
21 their disputes without judicial intervention. Plaintiffs' letters indicates that the parties are still in the  
22 process of meeting and conferring about the discovery disputes, and the ex parte letters are  
23 premature. Accordingly, the ex parte letters are **denied without prejudice**. The parties shall meet  
24 and confer regarding the disputes raised in the letter. If they remain unable to resolve these disputes  
25 without judicial intervention, the parties shall file a *single* joint discovery letter by **December 18,**  
26 **2014.**

27 In Docket No. 440, Plaintiffs moves to compel the Alameda County Sheriff's Office  
28 ("ACSO") to respond to a subpoena. Plaintiffs state that counsel for ACSO (Lynn Stocker, who also

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1 represents Defendants Garcia and Obichere) refuses to meet and confer regarding Plaintiffs'  
2 discovery requests. If this is true, then counsel for ACSO shall file a one-page letter by **December**  
3 **11, 2014** setting forth counsel's explanation for her refusal to meet and confer. Otherwise, Plaintiffs  
4 and ACSO shall meet and confer regarding the disputes raised in Docket No. 440, and shall file a  
5 joint discovery letter by **December 18, 2014** if they are unable to resolve those disputes without  
6 judicial intervention.

7 The court also notes that the three letters filed by Plaintiffs were not properly categorized on  
8 the docket as motions. Any future discovery letters shall be filed on the court's ECF system under  
9 the Civil Events category of Motions and Related Filings > Motions - General > "Discovery Letter  
10 Brief."

11  
12 IT IS SO ORDERED.  
13  
14 Dated: December 9, 2014  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

